

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:

СОМ Wasi

mademark om	, N	
MMISSIONER OF PATE hington, D.C. 20231	ENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		DA	TE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	A dication No.	Applic int(s)
	± 251,1 72	DER WA AMMAR
Office Action Summary	Exam ner	Art Unit
	na neth J. Ramsey	: 2879
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of their may be available use of the processors of 10008 of after \$10.000 ft. HS non-the mailing of the tree communication. If the period for reply is specified above to be assumed statutory period. Failure to reply attribute for set of extended period from other mailing and period to reply attribute for each of the set of extended period months after the mailing earned patent form adjustment. See 37. FR 104(b).	the statutory minimum of flirity (30) data and will expire \$1.0%, by DNTHS from	intely tied IV: val. t.e. () is seed time y The training of the about munication
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	. Letion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a	His except for formal matters, p Ekparte Quayle, 1 0 5 C.D. 11,	proseculing as to the merits is 453 O G 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim.se is/are pender on the applicar		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	e dem consideralion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s)is/are rejected		
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	→ which requirement	
Application Papers	ava roquitorin inc	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed oniscare_a _ access		minos
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	Stall approved by disappro	Wed by the Everyines
if applied edicorrected draivings are required in rec	to this Office action	wed till e Examiner
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exc	r iner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1106) (ci) no 6
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	7411, madi 66 6, 5, 6, 8 119(a	<i>y</i> -(α) (1 - 1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	ince ke en receiv ed	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on k
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	A COOLIM ents have been receive	din Abi National Co
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	att (POT Rule 17.2 (a)). The certified copies not received	d.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest-	orierity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a throvisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language products. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic.	signal application and heen reco	aivad
Attachment(s)		MHG/AL ZT
Notice of References Cited (PTC) (1) Discrete of Drafts, Prices Patent Drain name, exc. (PTC) 948 3) Information Discress: Statements PT (1449, Paper Nors)	4) interview Summary 5) Ne de of Informal P 6) Other	(PTO 1 in Plaper No. 8) atent Op (ik ation (PTC (152))
Patent and Trademark III	ne Summery	

Art Unit: 2879

Prior Art Rejections

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodson et al in view of Benjamin et al. Hodson et al discloses tiling 4 or more emitter base plates, independently addressable, to provide a large area display with a fast display refresh rate. As shown by Benjamin et al, column 7, line 62 through column 8, line 21, it was also known in the display art to provide addressing of a monolithic display from opposite sides to increase the refresh rate. Thus the advantage of a fast refresh rate occurs whether or not the display is monolithic or not. Therefore, since it is well known that a monolithic display has certain advantages of manufacture, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to provide a field emission display with independent addressing from opposed sides as in Hodson et al in, with the difference in that the display is monolithic lieu of the tiled display of Hodson et al. The details of manufacture of the display as claimed are otherwise well known in the art.

Directions for Responses

Any formal response to this communication should be directed to examiner Kenneth Ramsey, Art Unit 2879, and either

faxed to:

703-872-9318;

or mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner For Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2879

Technical inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Kenneth J. Ramsey, (703) 308-2324 (voice), (703) 746-4832 (fax).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kenneth J. Ramsey
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879

kjr September 20, 2001